arXiv:1401.3403v1 [math.GR] 15 Jan 2014

THE GROWTH OF TORUS LINK GROUPS

YOSHIYUKI NAKAGAWA, MAKOTO TAMURA, AND YASUSHI YAMASHITA

Abstract. Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set S. For $g \in G$, let $l_S(g)$ be the length of the shortest word over S representing g. The growth series of G with respect to S is the series $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$, where a_n is the number of elements of G with $l_S(g) = n$. If $A(t)$ can be expressed as a rational function of t, then G is said to have a rational growth function.

We calculate explicitly the rational growth functions of (p, q)-torus link groups for any $p, q > 1$. As an application, we show that their growth rates are Perron numbers.

1. Introduction and the main result

Let G be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set S. For $g \in G$, we denote by $l_S(g)$ the length of the shortest word over S representing g. The growth series of G with respect to S is the series $A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n$, where a_n is the number of elements of G with $l_S(g) = n$. In many important and interesting cases, $A(t)$ can be expressed as a rational function of t. However, it is often very difficult to compute $A(t)$ explicitly. Note that the radius of convergence R of $A(t) = P(t)/Q(t)$ is the modulus of a zero of the denominator $Q(t)$ closest to the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ of all zeros of $Q(t)$. Recall that the growth rate $\omega = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n}$ is equal to $1/R$. After Cannon-Wagreich [1], nice algebraic properties of R or ω (e.g., Salem numbers, Pisot numbers, Perron numbers) were investigated.

In this paper, we consider torus link group: the fundamental group of the complement of a torus link in 3-sphere. They are important objects in knot theory. The (p, q)-torus link group has a presentation $T_{p,q} = \langle x, y | x^p = y^q \rangle$. $T_{p,q}$ has a nontrivial center generated by $x^p = y^q$ and we add this element, say z, to the generating set. We denote this presentation by $T'_{p,q} = \langle x, y, z | x^p = y^q = z \rangle$. The case for $p = 2$ and $q = 3$ (the trefoil group) has some history. In [8], $T_{2,3}$ and $T'_{2,3}$ were studied as a three stranded braid group and the rational growth functions for both cases were given. Also, the rational growth function for $T'_{2,3}$ was calculated in [4] and in chapter 14 of [7], which is the starting point of this paper. We note that $T_{2,3}$ and $T_{2,4}$ were studied in [6] as examples of groups for which the growth rates are realized. (See [6] for the definition of "realized.")

The aim of this paper is to give the explicit expression of the rational growth function of $T'_{p,q}$ for any $p, q > 1$, and study the algebraic property of the growth rate. We denote by $C_n(t)$ the growth series (polynomial) of the cyclic group of order n,

Date: January 16, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E06; Secondary 20F05, 57M25.

Key words and phrases. growth function, torus link group, free product with amalgamation, Perron number.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23540088.

i.e., $C_n(t) = 1+2t+\cdots+2t^{(n-1)/2}$ if n is odd, and $C_n(t) = 1+2t+\cdots+2t^{n/2-1}+t^{n/2}$ if n is even, and $C_\infty(t) = (1+t)/(1-t)$ for infinite cyclic case. Here is our main theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *The rational growth function of $T'_{p,q} = \langle x, y, z | x^p = y^q = z \rangle$ for any $p, q > 1$ is*

$$A(t) = \frac{C_\infty(t)C_p(t)C_q(t)}{C_p(t)+C_q(t)-C_p(t)C_q(t)} + \frac{m_q(t)C_p(t)^2 + m_p(t)C_q(t)^2}{(C_p(t)+C_q(t)-C_p(t)C_q(t))^2},$$

where $m_r(t) = t^{r/2}$ if r is even, 0 if r is odd.

The proof will be given in the next section.

Remark 1.2. The rational growth function of $\langle x, y | x^p = y^q \rangle$ was calculated by Gill. See Theorem 2.3.2 in [2]. (It was pointed out that it has a misprint in the formula. See [6] [7].)

Remark 1.3. Our result should have a generalization to the groups

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r, z \mid x_1^{p_1} = x_2^{p_2} = \cdots = x_r^{p_r} = z \rangle,$$

where $2 \le p_1 \le p_2 \le \cdots \le p_r$. See [2]. For example, if p_i is odd for all i, we can apply Theorem 2.2 in the next section one by one.

Here is a direct consequence of the main result. A real number ω is called a Perron number if it is greater than 1 and an algebraic integer whose conjugates have moduli less than the modulus of ω.

Corollary 1.4. *The growth rate of $T'_{2,2}$ is 1. If $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$, the growth rate of $T'_{p,q}$ is a Perron number.*

To prove this corollary, let us recall a result by Komori-Umemoto [5]. To show that the growth rates of three-dimensional non-compact hyperbolic Coxeter groups with four generators are Perron numbers, they proved the next lemma:

Lemma 1.5 (Lemma 1 in [5])**.** *Consider the polynomial of degree $n \ge 2$*

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k t^k - 1,$$

where a_k is a non-negative integer. We also assume that the greatest common divisor of $\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a_k \neq 0\}$ is 1. Then there exists a real number r_0, $0 < r_0 < 1$ which is the unique zero of $g(t)$ having the smallest absolute value of all zeros of $g(t)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The growth rate of $T'_{2,2}$ can be calculated directly.

Suppose that $(p, q) \neq (2, 2)$. By considering the natural map from $T'_{p,q}$ to $\langle x, y, z | x^2 = y^q = z = id \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p * \mathbb{Z}_q$, we see that the growth rate ω is greater than 1. (See Theorem 16.12 in [7].) Hence, the radius of convergence R of $A(t)$ is smaller than 1. Put $g(t) = (C_p(t) - 1)(C_q(t) - 1) - 1$. Clearly, $g(t)$ satisfies the condition of the lemma. By the main theorem, the set of poles of $A(t)$ other than 1 is contained in the set of zeros of $g(t)$. Thus, R is equal to the unique real zero of $g(t) = 0$ between 0 and 1. Then, ω is a zero of the reciprocal $g^*(t)$ of $-g(t)$ which is monic since the constant term of $-g(t)$ is 1, and zeros of $g^*(t)$ have moduli less than the modulus of ω. This completes the proof. □

2. Proof

In this section, we prove the main theorem. What we will do is to follow the arguments in chapter 14 of [7] carefully, apply the same idea to the general cases with some modification, and carry out the calculations.

Let p, q be integers greater than one. Let $X_p = \langle x, z | x^p = z \rangle$ and $Y_q = \langle y, z | y^q = z \rangle$. They are infinite cyclic groups with one extra generator added. We say that a shortest word in X_p (resp. Y_q) of the form $z^i x^j$ (resp. $z^i y^j$) a normal form.

We separate the proof into three cases: (1) p and q are both odd, (2) p is even and q is odd, (3) p and q are both even.

2.1. p and q are both odd.

To our surprise, this case was very easy. We can apply a general theorem for free product with amalgamation. Let us recall notation and a theorem. See [7] for detail.

Definition 2.1 (Section 14.3 in [7])**.** Consider pairs (G, S), where G is generated by S. A pair (H, T) is admissible in (G, S), if H is a subgroup of G, T is a subset of S, and there exists a transversal U for H in G, termed an admissible transversal, such that if $g = hu$ with $g \in G$, $h \in H$, $u \in U$, then $l_S(g) = l_T(h) + l_S(u)$. We always assume that the transversal contains the identity as the representative for H.

Theorem 2.2 (Prop. 14.2 in [7])**.** *Let (L, R) be admissible in both (H, S) and (K, T). Let $G = H *_L K$. Let G, H, K, L have growth functions $A(t)$, $B(t)$, $C(t)$ and $D(t)$, respectively, relative to the generating set $S \cup T$, S, T, R. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{A(t)} = \frac{1}{B(t)} + \frac{1}{C(t)} - \frac{1}{D(t)}.$$

Now, let us begin the proof for this case. Suppose that $p = 2n + 1$ and $q = 2m + 1$. Note that $T'_{p,q} = \langle x, y, z | x^p = y^q = z \rangle = X_p *_{\langle z \rangle} Y_q$ is a free product with amalgamation.

Then, $(\langle z \rangle, \{z\})$ is admissible in both $(X_p, \{x, z\})$ and $(Y_q, \{y, z\})$. To see this, set $U_p = \{x^{-n}, x^{-n+1}, \ldots, x^{n-1}, x^n\}$ and $V_q = \{y^{-m}, y^{-m+1}, \ldots, y^{p-1}, y^m\}$. Then, U_p (resp. V_q) is an admissible transversal for $\langle z \rangle$ in X_p (resp. Y_q).

Hence, we can apply Theorem 2.2. Let $B(t), C(t)$ and $D(t)$ be the growth functions for X_p, Y_q and $\langle z \rangle$, respectively. Then, it is easy to see that $B(t) = C_\infty(t)C_p(t)$, $C(t) = C_\infty(t)C_q(t)$, and $D(t) = C_\infty(t)$. By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$A(t) = \frac{C_\infty(t)C_p(t)C_q(t)}{C_p(t) + C_q(t) - C_p(t)C_q(t)}$$

which gives the claimed formula.

2.2. p is even and q is odd.

Suppose that $p = 2n$ and $q = 2m+1$. Unfortunately, $(\langle z \rangle, \{z\})$ is not admissible in $(X_p, \{x, z\})$. Set $U_p = \{x^{-n}, x^{-n+1}, \ldots, x^{n-1}, x^n\}$ and $V_q = \{y^{-m}, y^{-m+1}, \ldots, y^{p-1}, y^m\}$ as in the previous case. Note that V_q is an admissible transversal for $\langle z \rangle$ in Y_q, but U_p is not a transversal for $\langle z \rangle$ in X_p because $x^n = zx^{-n}$.

2.2.1. *The minimal normal form.* (See section 14.1 of [7].)

Let w be a shortest word in $T'_{p,q}$. Collecting together generators from the same group, we obtain a product in which elements from X_p and Y_q alternate. We replace each factor by its normal form in X_p or Y_q. Then, we get a product in

which elements from $\langle z \rangle$ alternate with elements from U_p and V_q. If we have in this product a segment of the form xz^j, say, then $xz^j \in X_p$, and we can replace it by its normal form $z^{j'}x'$, without increasing the length. In this way, we represent each element in G by a minimal word of the form $w = z^i u$ where the occurrences of elements in U_p and V_q alternate in u,

Suppose that $i > 0$ and the word x^{-n} occurs in u. Then, we can replace x^{-n} by $z^{-1}x^n$ and send this z^{-1} to the left and replace z^i by z^{i-1}. The case for $i < 0$ and x^n is similar. Therefore, we may assume that, if $i \neq 0$, then in all occurrences of $x^{\pm n}$, the exponent has the same sign, which is the sign of i.

Next, suppose that $i = 0$. If u contains both x^n and x^{-n}, then we can write $x^{-n} = z^{-1}x^n$, then move z^{-1} to the position of x^n, and replace this $z^{-1}x^n$ by x^{-n}. This means that we can interchange the occurrences of x^n and x^{-n}, therefore we may assume that all terms x^n proceed all terms x^{-n}. We assume that our minimal words with $i = 0$ are of this form, and call them minimal normal form.

We claim that this form (minimal normal form) is unique.

To see this, we replace all occurrences of x^{-n} in $w = z^i u$ by zx^n and send this z to the left. This (possibly not shortest) form is called "canonical form". We claim that this form of the elements in (p, q)-torus link group G is unique. Indeed, suppose that $z^i u$ and $z^j v$ are canonical forms with $z^i u = z^j v$ in G. Note that, by introducing the relation $x^p = y^q = z = id$, we can map G to $H = \mathbb{Z}_p * \mathbb{Z}_q$. Then, we find the equality $u = v$ in H, and since H is a free product, u and v are the same words, and the equality $u = v$ holds in G, too. It follows that $i = j$, and the uniqueness of the canonical form is proved.

One can show that the map from the set of minimal normal forms to the set of canonical forms is injective by the same arguments given in 14.1 in [7]. It follows that the minimal normal forms are also unique.

2.2.2. *Counting the minimal normal words.* If $z^i u$ is a normal form, then u is of the form $u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \cdots u_r v_r$, where $u_j \in U_p$ and $v_k \in V_q$ with $u_1 \neq id$ for $i > 1$ and $v_r \neq id$ for $j < r$. Let M be the set of all minimal normal words. Define

$$M_1 := \{w \in M \mid w = z^i u, i > 0\}, \qquad M_2 := \{w \in M \mid w = z^i u, i < 0\},$$
$$M_3 := \{w \in M \mid w = z^0 u,\ u_1 \neq id\}, \qquad M_4 := \{w \in M \mid w = z^0 u,\ u_1 = id\}.$$

Then, we have $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3 \cup M_4$ and $M_i \cap M_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.

First, we consider the growth function of M_1. Put $U_p^+ = U_p \setminus \{x^{-n}\}$. Then, note that $C_p(t) = 1 + 2t + \cdots + 2t^{n-1} + t^n$ (resp. $C_q(t) = 1 + 2t + \cdots + 2t^m$,) is the "growth polynomial" for U_p^+ (resp. V_q). Let r be a positive integer. Then, the growth polynomial for the set of words

$$M_1(r) := \left\{ u_1 v_1 u_2 v_2 \cdots u_r v_r \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} u_i \in U_p^+, & u_i \neq id \,(\text{for } i > 1), \\ v_j \in V_q, & u_j \neq id \,(\text{for } j < r) \end{array} \right\}$$

is $C_p(t)\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r-1}(C_q(t)-1)(C_p(t)-1)\right)C_q(t)$. (See, for example, the proof of Prop. 1.5 in [7].) Since x^{-n} does not occur in any word of M_1, any u in $w = z^i u$ of M_1

is contained in $M_1(r)$ for some r, and the growth function $A_1(t)$ for M_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(t) &= (t+t^2+t^3+\dots)\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} C_p(t)(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}(C_p(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t) \\ &= \frac{t}{1-t}\cdot\frac{C_p(t)C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since x^n does not occur in any word of M_2, the growth function $A_2(t)$ for M_2 is equal to $A_1(t)$,

Next, we consider the growth function $A_3(t)$ for M_3. Suppose again that r is a positive integer and define

$$M_3(r) = \left\{ u_1v_1u_2v_2\cdots u_rv_r \in M_3 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} u_i \in U_p, & u_i \neq id \,(\text{for any } i), \\ v_j \in V_q, & u_j \neq id \,(\text{for } j<r) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then, each word in $M_3(r)$ is determined by the corresponding word in $M_1(r)$ (we consider that x^{-n} corresponds to x^n in U_p^+.) and the number, say k, of occurrences of x^{-n}. The number k varies between 0 and the number of occurrences of x^n in the corresponding word in $M_1(r)$. Also, the growth series of the image of $M_3(r)$ in $M_1(r)$ is $(C_p(t)-1)^r(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t)$. Hence, to calculate the growth series for $M_3(r)$, for each term in $(C_p(t)-1)^r(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t)$ which corresponds to a word having k occurrences of x^n, we have to multiply this term by $k+1$. To do this, put $D_p(t) := 2t+2t^2+\cdots+2t^{n-1}$, and $E_p(t) := t^n$. Since we have $(C_p(t)-1)^r = \sum_{k=0}^{r}\binom{r}{k}D_p(t)^{r-k}E_p(t)^k$, and $E_p(t)$ corresponds to x^n, we define

$$F^{(r)}(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{r}(k+1)\binom{r}{k}D_p(t)^{r-k}E_p(t)^k.$$

Then, $F^{(r)}(t)(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t)$ is the growth function for $M_3(r)$. By the binomial theorem, we see that $F^{(r)}(t) = (C_p(t)-1)^r + rE_p(t)(C_p(t)-1)^{r-1}$. Then, the growth function for M_3 is

$$\begin{aligned} A_3(t) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} F^{(r)}(t)(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t) \\ &= \frac{(C_p(t)-1)C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)} + \frac{E_p(t)C_q(t)}{(1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1))^2} \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, we used $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} rs^{r-1} = 1/(1-s)^2$.

Similarly, the growth function for M_4 is

$$\begin{aligned} A_4(t) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} F^{(r-1)}(t)(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t) \\ &= \frac{C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)} + \frac{E_p(t)C_q(t)(C_q(t)-1)}{(1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the growth function for $T'_{p,q}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= A_1(t)+A_2(t)+A_3(t)+A_4(t) \\ &= \frac{1+t}{1-t}\,\frac{C_p(t)C_q(t)}{(C_p(t)+C_q(t)-C_p(t)C_q(t))} + \frac{E_p(t)C_q(t)^2}{(C_p(t)+C_q(t)-C_p(t)C_q(t))^2} \end{aligned}$$

which gives the claimed formula.

2.3. p **and** q **are both even.** Suppose that $p = 2n$ and $q = 2m$. We will use the same notation as in the previous section.

Similarly to the previous case, we can define the minimal normal form $z^i u$. However, the case $i = 0$ needs some modification. Suppose that $i = 0$. Let $a \in \{x^n, y^m\}$ and $b \in \{x^{-n}, y^{-m}\}$. Then, we can interchange the occurrences of a and b in u. Thus, we assume that our minimal words with $i = 0$ are of the following types:

(α) All terms x^n proceed all terms x^{-n}, and u contains only y^{-m}.
(β) u contains only x^n, and all terms y^m proceed all terms y^{-m}.

We assume that our minimal words with $i = 0$ are of this form. The uniqueness of this normal form can be proved similarly. Note that minimal normal words of type

(γ) u contains only x^n and y^{-m}.

are exactly the intersection of type (α) and (β).

Let M be the set of all minimal normal words defined above. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &:= \{w \in M \mid w = z^i u,\ i > 0\},\\
M_2 &:= \{w \in M \mid w = z^i u,\ i < 0\},\\
M_\alpha &:= \{w \in M \mid w = z^0 u,\ u \text{ is of type } (\alpha)\},\\
M_\beta &:= \{w \in M \mid w = z^0 u,\ u \text{ is of type } (\beta)\},\\
M_\gamma &:= \{w \in M \mid w = z^0 u,\ u \text{ is of type } (\gamma)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since C_p (resp. C_q) is the growth polynomial for U_p^+ (resp. V_q^+), the growth function $A_1(t)$ for M_1 is

$$A_1(t) = \frac{t}{1-t} \cdot \frac{C_p(t)C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)},$$

as in the previous case. The growth function $A_2(t)$ for M_2 is equal to $A_1(t)$. Since M_α corresponds to M_3 and M_4 in the previous case, its growth function $A_\alpha(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\alpha(t) &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} F^{(r)}(t)(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} F^{(r-1)}(t)(C_q(t)-1)^{r-1}C_q(t)\\
&= \frac{C_p(t)C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)} + \frac{E_p(t)C_q(t)^2}{(1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1))^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\beta(t) &= \frac{C_p(t)C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)} + \frac{E_q(t)C_p(t)^2}{(1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1))^2},\\
A_\gamma(t) &= \frac{C_p(t)C_q(t)}{1-(C_p(t)-1)(C_q(t)-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the rational growth function $A(t)$ is $A_1(t) + A_2(t) + A_\alpha(t) + A_\beta(t) - A_\gamma(t)$ which gives the claimed formula.

This completes the proof of the main theorem.

Remark 2.3. Besides the proof, we have checked our formula for many examples using a software called kbmag by Holt [3].

References

[1] J. W. Cannon and Ph. Wagreich, *Growth functions of surface groups*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992), no. 2, 239–257. MR 1166120 (93j:20077)

[2] C. P. Gill, *Growth series of stem products of cyclic groups*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **9** (1999), no. 1, 1–30. MR 1695317 (2000b:20036)

[3] Derek F. Holt, *KBMAG – knuth-bendix in monoids and automatic groups*, 1996, Software Package, available from http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/~mareg/kbmag/.

[4] D. L. Johnson, A. C. Kim, and H. J. Song, *The growth of the trefoil group*, Groups—Korea '94 (Pusan), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995, pp. 157–161. MR 1476956 (98f:20007)

[5] Yohei Komori and Yuriko Umemoto, *On the growth of hyperbolic 3-dimensional generalized simplex reflection groups*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **88** (2012), no. 4, 62–65. MR 2912844

[6] Avinoam Mann, *The growth of free products*, J. Algebra **326** (2011), 208–217. MR 2746061 (2011m:20062)

[7] ———, *How groups grow*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 395, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. MR 2894945

[8] Michael Shapiro, *Growth of a* $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbf{R}$ *manifold group*, Math. Nachr. **167** (1994), 279–312. MR 1285316 (95f:57028)

Department of Economics, Ryukoku University, Kyoto, 612-8577, Japan
E-mail address: nakagawa@mail.ryukoku.ac.jp

College of General Education, Osaka Sangyo University, Osaka, 574-8530, Japan
E-mail address: mtamura@las.osaka-sandai.ac.jp

Department of Information and Computer Sciences, Nara Women's University, Nara, 630-8506, Japan
E-mail address: yamasita@ics.nara-wu.ac.jp